

**Funding ceilings contained in November 2011 Phase 2 Funding decision for Zimbabwe grants ZIM-809-G14-S and ZIM-809-G13-M**

*Confirmed 20 January 2012*

**Decision Point B25/EDP/07**

Referring to its earlier decision on 19 December 2011 (B25/EDP/02), the Board:

1. Notes that a transcription error was made in the reporting of the amounts requested and the Secretariat's recommendation for incremental funding for the Phase 2 period for the Zimbabwe grants numbered ZIM-809-G14-S and ZIM-809-G13-M listed in decision point B25/EDP/02;
2. Decides to amend its funding decision for the two Zimbabwe grants numbered ZIM-809-G14-S and ZIM-809-G13-M, by replacing the earlier approved amounts with the corrected funding amounts as set out in Table 1, with the clear understanding that the amounts approved are upper ceilings rather than final funding amounts, and based on an understanding that the Secretariat shall pursue ongoing implementation arrangements for each proposal consistent with the recommendations set forth in its Grant Scorecard. Approval is also subject to Paragraph 7 of Annex 1 to the Decision Point Modification of Grant Renewals and Transition to New Funding (GF/B25/DP16).<sup>[1]</sup>
3. Reaffirms that the maximum funding amount for Phase 2 of each of affected proposals shall be the sum of the amount approved by this decision and the amount of any funds approved for Phase 1 which have not been disbursed by the Global Fund at the end of the Phase 1 period.

This decision does not have material budgetary implications for the 2011 Operating Expenses Budget.

Table 1: December 2011 Phase 2 Funding Recommendations

No	Country	Grant Number(s)	CCM Requested Amount (Incremental in US\$ or Euros) in RCF (a)	Recommended Funding Amount (Incremental in US\$ or Euros)	Recommendation Category
1	Belarus	BLR-809-G03-H	Euro 12,841,716(b)	Euro 11,690,358 = US\$ 15,671,509	Go
2	Burundi	BRN-809-G07-H	US\$ 68,279,359	US\$ 56,344,150	Go
3	Indonesia	IND-809-G13-M	US\$ 35,704,851	US\$4,522,324	Go

<sup>[1]</sup> When the Board approves the renewal of an existing grant, the Secretariat is required to commit that approved funding in annual tranches, with an initial commitment for the first year of funding only. Additional one-year funding tranches may subsequently be committed by the Secretariat, conditional upon the availability of funding.

No	Country	Grant Number(s)	CCM Requested Amount (Incremental in US\$ or Euros) in RCF (a)	Recommended Funding Amount (Incremental in US\$ or Euros)	Recommendation Category
4	Indonesia	IND-809-G14-M		US\$ 27,304,645	Go
5	Kazakhstan	KAZ-809-G04-T	US\$ 22,270,233 (c)	US\$ 24,569,138	Go
6	Nicaragua	NIC-809-G06-H	US\$30,604,449	US\$ 20,322,467	Conditional Go
7	Philippines	PHL-202-G09-M	US\$ 8,018,396(d)	US\$ 14,769,890	Go
8	Philippines	PHL-202-G11-T	Euro 45,076,139(b)	Euro 30,240,263 = US\$ 40,538,585	Go
9	Somalia	SOM-809-G06-H	US\$ 27,291,510	US\$ 18,341,783	Go
10	Zimbabwe	ZIM-809-G14-S	<del>US\$ 19,764,890</del> US\$ 41,361,124	<del>US\$ 19,127,586</del> US\$ 41,240,113	Conditional Go
11	Zimbabwe	ZIM-809-G13-M	<del>US\$ 41,361,124</del> US\$ 19,764,890	<del>US\$ 41,240,113</del> US\$ 19,127,586	Conditional Go
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>US\$282,752,190</b>	

**NOTES:**

- (a) These figures were submitted in the CCM Request. Discrepancies with the CCM request figures in the GSC are due to the further adjustments made by the Global Fund Secretariat at the time of Phase 2 review.
- (b) CCMs have requested Phase 2 funding in Euro currency. To give the Board the most up-to-date picture of what the EUR/USD equivalent is at the time of the Phase 2 submission, the recommended incremental funding amount has been computed in USD using the rate of exchange that is published at [www.oanda.com](http://www.oanda.com) for buying USD on 8 December 2011 (1 Euro = 1.34055 USD).
- (c) The CCM made a mistake in the RCF by not including outstanding commitments, correct SLD costs and other costs related to delayed activities that were shifted from previous quarters, and a discrepancy in the calculation of addition costs for SLD. Therefore, the incremental requested by the CCM appears higher than what the Secretariat is recommending.
- (d) The Incremental amount requested by the CCM is lower than what the Secretariat is recommending because of miscalculated expenditures. Additionally, in order to achieve 90% coverage, an additional 1.3 million LLINs will need to be procured. The Secretariat adjusted the incremental amount recommended to the Board accordingly.